

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE MR.V.K.BALI

&

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE C.N.RAMACHANDRAN NAIR

FRIDAY, THE 15TH DECEMBER 2006 / 24TH AGRAHAYANA 1928

WA.No. 2368 of 2006()

AGAINST THE JUDGEMENT IN WPC.9610/2006 Dated 16/10/2006

.....

APPELLANT: 4TH RESPONDENT:

AMROK INDUSTRIALS AND INVESTMENTS,
MIG-395, PANAMPILLY NAGAR P.O., KOCHI-36,
REP. BY CAPT.ALEX ANTONY.

BY ADV. SRI.V.M.KURIAN
SRI.MATHEW B. KURIAN
SRI.K.T.THOMAS

RESPONDENTS: PETITIONER/RESPONDENTS 1 TO 3:

1. TISHA NAVIGATION INC., 39/5132,
MILL LANE, CHURCH LANDING ROAD, ERNAKULAM,
KOCHI-682 016, REP. BY ITS PARTNER,
MRS.GANGA BALAKRISHNAN.
2. UNION OF INDIA, REP.BY SECRETARY,
MINISTRY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY,
DEPARTMENT OF SPACE, NEW DELHI.
3. THE DIRECTOR,
VIKRAM SARABHAI SPACE CENTRE, I.S.R.O. POST,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.
4. THE SENIOR PURCHASE MANAGER,
VIKRAM SARABHAI SPACE CENTRE, PURCHASE UNIT-VI,
BUILDING NO.9, 70 ACRE AREA, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM-22

BY MR.JOHN VARGHESE, ASST. SOLICITOR GENERAL

THIS WRIT APPEAL HAVING COME UP FOR ORDERS
ON 15/12/2006, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:

V.K. BALI, C.J. & C.N.RAMACHANDRAN NAIR, J.

**W.A.No. 2368 of 2006 &
C.M.Appln.No.1278 of 2006**

Dated, this the 15th day of December, 2006

JUDGMENT

V.K.Bali,C.J.(Oral)

Delay condoned.

2. At the very outset learned counsel for the appellant states that the contract given to the appellant who was 4th respondent in the original lis was renewable from year to year and it was not a case where the contract may automatically come to an end in January, 2006. When confronted with the position that this fact was not even brought to the notice of the learned Single Judge, counsel for the appellant says that this writ appeal be dismissed as withdrawn with permission to move an application for review before the learned Single Judge. With leave and liberty as asked for, this writ appeal is dismissed as withdrawn.

**V.K. BALI,
CHIEF JUSTICE.**

**C.N.RAMACHANDRAN NAIR,
JUDGE.**

